

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,595	03/27/2000	Michael Wayne Brown	AUS000060US2 4471	
7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER	
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			RUDY, ANDREW J	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW P. O. BOX 969 AUSTIN,, TX 78767-0969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/534,595	BROWN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,11,12 and 14-17 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,11,12 and 14-17 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subject to restriction and is/are: a) are subject to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subject to restriction and is/are: a) are subject to is/are: a) is/are: a) is/are: a/ is/are: a/	rawn from consideration. cted. l/or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) objected to by the			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/534,595 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 29, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 11, 12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,595

Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

No support in the specification is provided for the registration utility, the transaction utility or the SRID, e.g. page 19, line 20 of the specification. Further, no support in the specification for "a computer system affiliated with said depository and comprising a processor that executes program code" nor "program code that when executed by the processor completes the functions" are present in the original application. As is, it is not clear what comprises the inventive concept recited from the claim language. Applicant's August 29, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant must specifically point out where support for such offending claim language may be found in the original application to overcome this rejection.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 11, 12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's August 29, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. No processor or the like to execute the program or software appears to be clearly recited in the original application. It is noted that Applicant has not addressed the transaction utility rejection nor the processor rejection from the previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claim s 1, 2, 4-7, 11, 12 and 14-17, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landry, US 6,996,542.

Landry discloses, e.g. Figs.1-3, 5, 8, 12, 19, an electronic commerce system where confidentiality of personal information is maintained and shipping services are used to deliver a product using a personal identifier, e.g. 18, that allows some information to be withheld from a second party, e.g. 20. The central computer, e.g. 110, and processor, e.g. 130, would inherently execute program code. As understood, Landry does not disclose a transaction utility. To have provided such for Landry would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having provided such would have been to incorporate such common knowledge software.

Applicant's August 29, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed and the three previous art rejections are hereby withdrawn.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627